

Project #		
Мар#	8	Lot# 36

Name of Appli	cant: STEPHEN PONDELIS (ARCHITECT)
Mailing Addres	A-TTA-RODA PANIDELIC APPLYITES WIDE
State: M	TNE Zip: 04096 Telephone: (207) 653-9470
E-mail Address	STEPHEN ATTARDOPONDELIS, com
Name of Proper	MY OWNER: BELLEVUE PROPERTIES, LLC (DAVID-TASSINARI)
Mailing Addres	s: P.O. BOX 1395 City or Town: AUBUEN
State: MAII	VE 12.00 MINOT AVE C4211 Telephone: (207) 576-4079
E-mail Address	
Name of Repre	sentative: (If applicable)
Mailing Address	cs: City or Town:
State:	Zip: Telephone:
E-mail Address	
	The undersigned requests that the Planning Board consider the following:
Subject Propert	y(s): Tax Map: 8 Lot: 36 (and) Tax Map: Lot:
Physical Addres	s(es) or Location: 18 LOOKOUT POINT POAD
Zoning District:	(Circle all that apply) INT * SR * SB * CF1 * CF11 * RP * Other
🗆 1. Site Plan	Review (see Site Plan Review Ordinance §14
I Pre-	for submission requirements) Application Review for submission requirements) I Pre-Application Review
□ Plan	Submission Preliminary Plan Submission
LIAme	ndment □ Final Plan Submission □ Amendment
□ 3. Approva	l of Land Use in the Shoreland Zone (see Table 1 in Shoreland Zoning Ordinance) ched checklist for submission requirements.)
X4. Reconstr Nonconf	uction of Nonconforming Structure (See Sec. 10.3.2 of Basic Land Use Ordinance) OR Exemption for orming Foundation (See Sec. 10.3.1.2 of Basic Land Use Ordinance) (See attached checklist for requirements)
☐ 5. Wharf A	pproval (Land Use Application, Deed and Sketch must accompany this request.)
☐ 6. Other:	
The second to the second secon	Signature of Applicant: Stephen Pontelie Date: 9.30, 2020
Office Use Only	Fee Paid: □ Planning Board □ Site Plan Review □ Staff Review □ Subdivision \$ Escrow Fee: \$ Received by:



September 30, 2020

Town of Harpswell, Maine Members of the Planning Board:

Attached is a packet of documents in support of an application for Reconstruction of a Nonconforming Structure. The property, at 118 Lookout Point Road, is currently owned by siblings David Tassinari and Karen Renton. Their mother, Mary Tassinari, has been coming to this house each summer for the past 76 years, since she was 14 years old. Her children initially hoped to renovate the existing structure including lifting it up and installing a new foundation below. As part of that plan they hoped to raise the house to allow for a full height basement with a stair to the first floor that could hold a "stair glide" to better enable their mother to access the main floor of the house.

The present first floor is 7 feet above the driveway with exterior stairs at front and back as the only means of getting to the main floor. The family applied for a variance to the height limit within the Shoreland Zone but were denied that request as the height of the existing structure was already non-conforming. It was suggested that a better approach would be to consider demolishing the existing structure and rebuilding.

The new plans illustrate the request to rebuild on the same footprint with respect to the front and side setbacks. The new structure, including a deck at the rear, projects further back by only 15" as the limiting factor is the well located under the existing deck. The height of the new structure will conform to the 30 foot height limit. The overall lot coverage by impervious surfaces will be reduced as shown and the increased volume of the new project will be less than thirty percent. A new septic system has been designed by Albert Frick Associates. Gutters will redistribute storm water within the property instead of letting it run down the street.

The new house will retain the same number of bedrooms as existing with one on the new ground floor (basement level) for Mary Tassinari, with a bathroom at that level and an interior stair to access the main floor.

We hope that you will view this request as a marked improvement to the property and this neighborhood within the Shoreland Residential Zone. On behalf of the Tassinari family, thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Stephen Pondelis

Attardo Pondelis Architecture

Jurisdictional Information

Map 8 Lot	36			
Applicant Name		PONDELIS ,	4xcHITECT	
Applicant Address	ATTARDO PONDO ARCHITECTUS	EUS 25 81 BPI	DUE ST. YARMOUTH	
	nes you must go to the red by the deadline da	~		
The applicant proposes to remove an existing 3948 square foot DECK and replace it with a 4103 square foot House 6. DECK.				
The existing and prois:	oposed height, propert	y line setbacks and	impermeable surface (IS) %	
Height	Existing (ft) 34-14 ft	Proposed (ft) 29 -1/" ft	Allowed	
Front	<u>14-10"</u> n	14-10" A		
Left Side	1 <u>5-8" A</u>	15'-8"n		
Right Side	7-0"A	7-0"A		
Back/water	<u>60-0"</u> n	58-9"A		
% IS	45.2%	40.6%		
The lot is approximately 601 square feet, which makes this a conforming nonconforming of in terms of size.				
The lot is located in been determined by	the SHOPELAND PL the Code Officer to be	SIDENTAZoning De inside/outside of	istrict. The property has the 100 year floodplain.	
Applicant signature	Stylen	Pondelie		
Date 9.30, 2	020			



Town of Harpswell Code Enforcement Office Building Permit/Land Use Permit Application Map 8 Lot(s) 36

			1416h _ (191(2) <u>20</u>
(CEO Approval Stick	ter)	Co	onditions of Approval / Comments:
Permit Types(s) Requested: [] New Structure [] Addition [] [] Replacement [] Pier, Dock, I [] Accessory Structure [] Earth [] Other	Float, Wharf Moving/Filling	Impermeable	e Coverage %
	PLETE APPLICATIO	ON IN ITS	ENTIRETY
AUBUZN,	395, 1200 MINOT MAINE 04211 LECTRICAL SYSTEM	AVE.	Days: (207) 576-4079 Nights: "
Site Address: 118 LOOK	UT POINT ROAD		
	-teyan Homes Orth Street		Telephone Numbers: Days: (207) 756-2612 Nights: "
	O. MAINE OFFOI WEIGHT-EYAN. C	com	Nights: 1/
Name of Contact Person:	HEN PONDELIS (APC	Hitect)	Phone #(207) 653-9470
Proposed Property Line Setbacks Front 14'-10"	Proposed Water Setbacks Wetland	9	Type of Water Supply: Dug Well Morilled Well Community Well
Left 15-8" Right 7-0" Back 58-9"	Tidal 147/-611 River Stream		Type of Septic System: Subsurface [_]Holding Tank [_]OBD [_]Unknown

Must be completed for ALL new activity.	Page 2		
PROPOSED NEW CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY: (1)E	W HOUSE AND DECK TO REPLACE EXISTING		
Structure Dimensions: L. 45-3" W 28-0" #	Stories 3 Garage s.f. NA		
Basement s.f. 1267 1st Story s.f. 1267 2nd S	Story s.f. 2 9 Deck/Patio s.f. 280 SF		
Structure Height: Present 34-14 Propose	d 29-11" Other s.f. STAINS 70SF		
Number of Bedrooms: Present 4 Propose			
	d_3,5		
Total Impermeable Coverage*: Present s.f. 3081	% 45.2 Proposed s.f. 2766 % 40.6		
*Include all non-vegetated land area: buildings, porches, she	eds, driveways, parking areas, patios, etc.		
Zoning District(s) Circle all that apply: Interior Shorelan	nd Residential Commercial Fishing Resource Protection		
Project D	escription		
Describe your proposed activity. If applicable describe the a proposed use. Please include a floor plan. Be thorough to a DEMOLISH EXISTING HOUSE AND DECK. I TO 30' HEIGHT LIMIT. NEW DECK AND EXERNE OVERAGE. INST.	SUILD NEW 3 STORY HOUSE CONFORMING		
SEE ATTACHED PLANS, ELEVATIONS	AND PLOTPUNISTEPLANS		
Plot Plan on Page 4 must be completed & signed			
1/	processing and the state of the		
Estimated Cost of Construction: # 700,000 (ma			
Estimated Cost of Construction: # 700,000 (mar	ndatory for Assessing)		
Nonconform			
Nonconform	ndatory for Assessing) ning Structures if not applicable		
Nonconform [] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK STAIRS	ndatory for Assessing) ning Structures		
Nonconform [] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK STAIRS 3948 TOTAL B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which		
Nonconform [] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK 9 STAIRS 3948 TOTAL B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback O	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback		
Nonconform [_] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK 54AIRS 3948 +014L B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 3753 + 350 DECK	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which		
Nonconform [] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECKY STAIRS 3948 TOTAL B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 3753 + 350 DECKY 4103 TOTAL STAIRS	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. c.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 24,597 CF		
Nonconform [] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK & STAIRS 3948 + 01712 B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 3753 + 350 DECK Alignment Alignment D. % increase of s.f. of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. c.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback D. % increase of c.f of actual and proposed expansions of		
Nonconform [] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK 554105 3948 +014L B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 3753 + 350 Deck 4103 topal. D. % increase of s.f. of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100) 103, 966	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. c.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback D. % increase of c.f of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100)		
Nonconform [_] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK 5 STAIRS 3948 + OTAL B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 3753 + 350 Deck Alog Topal D. % increase of s.f. of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. c.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback D. % increase of c.f of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required		
Nonconform [] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK 5 STAIRS 3948 TOTAL B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 3753 + 350 DECK 4103 TOTAL 5 MINS D. % increase of s.f. of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100) A 103.9%	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27/622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. c.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 34,597 CF D. % increase of c.f of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100)		
Nonconform [] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK 5 STAIRS 3948 + 01AL B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 3753 + 350 DECK D. % increase of s.f. of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100) A Modular an	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. c.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback D. % increase of c.f of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100) A 125.25%		
Nonconform [] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK 5 STAIRS 3948 + OTAL B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 3753 + 350 DECK A 103 TOPAL STAIRS D. % increase of s.f. of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100) A 103.9 % Modular an [] Check here	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 0 C. c.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 34,597 CF D. % increase of c.f of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100) A 125.25% d Mobile Homes if not applicable		
Nonconform [_] Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 3690 + 258 DECK 9 STAIRS 3940 + OTAL B. s.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 3753 + 350 DECK 9 4103 + TOPAL 96005 D. % increase of s.f. of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100) A 103,9% Modular an [_] Check here Year Made Make Model Serial Number	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27,622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. c.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback D. % increase of c.f of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100) A 125.25%		
Nonconform Check here A. s.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of previous expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. s.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback A	ning Structures if not applicable A. c.f. of portion of the structure which is less than the required setback 27/622 CF B. c.f. of previous expansions of portion of structure which is less than the required setback C. c.f. of proposed expansion of portion of structure which is less than the required setback 34,597 CF D. % increase of c.f of actual and proposed expansions of the portion of the structure which is less than the required setback (% increase = B+C x 100) A 125.25% d Mobile Homes if not applicable Size		

•

OWNER STATEMENT

I hereby certify that the proposed work is authorized by the owner of record and that I have been authorized by the owner to make this application as his authorized agent and we agree to conform to all applicable laws of this jurisdiction.

I hereby certify that I understand that I MAY NOT start ANY part of my project without first receiving my permit and that if work is found to be started prior to receiving a permit. I will be subject to TRIPLE the normal cost of the permit.

Signature of Applicant OR Signature of Owner		lie ARCHTTECTURE	date 9.30.2020
Permit Fee: # Square Feet @0.30 # Square Feet @0.40		Fees: \$50.00 PLUS: \$0.30 per square foot for structures 200 \$0.40 per square foot for structures ove	0 ft² or smaller r 2000 ft²
External Plumbing Fee: Flood Hazard Fee: Nonconforming Structure Fee: Planning Board Fee (if applicable) Amount Due: Date:	\$ -	\$75.00 additional if the structure is noncon \$50.00 Flood Hazard Fee Annual Renewal: 50% of original permit u of reasonable need for extension of time to Additional fees may apply if Planning Boar is required Triple fee if project started prior to recommend.	pon showing commence rd review

Inspections to be completed by Code Enforcement Officer

Map Lot	Address:		
	Date/CEO	Date/CEO	
Concrete Slab-on-Ground Vapor Retarder, Construction Decay & Termite Protection Decay & Termite Energy Efficiency Insulation, R-Value, U-Factor, Fenestration Floor & Ceiling Framing			Interior & Exterior Finish Gypsum Board, Interior Paneding, Exterior Weather Resistant Siding Roof Covering Shingle/Underlayment, Ventilation, Attic Access Roof Framing Construction, Truss & Tie-Down
Spans, Bearings, Cutting, Notching, Headers, Support & Bridging Footing Environment	Of the second se	2Middelfelegenwyrdagensol yn dergy y malyglasgen ei 1910	Safety* for Final Inspection Light & Ventilation, Glazing, Handrail, Smoke Alarm, Emergency Escape, Radon Test*
Environment, Width & Thickness, Placement, Surface & Step Foundation	CHARMAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	-2879-7-50-A SEA FRONT MICHIGAN PROPERTY OF THE SEA FRONT SEA FRON	Sheathing Underlayment, Subfloor, Structural Panel Subfloor Plywood Wall & Roof, Particleboard
Construction, Thickness, Backfill Height, Radon, Drainage, Waterproofing, Radon System	University of the parties of the par	SDATES AND	Wall Framing Bearing Walls, Bracing, Fire-blocking, Headers, Cutting, Notching
Solar Panels / Batteries			

Please be as detailed as possible. Include all present and proposed structures, wells, septic areas, driveways and location of erosion control method. Also include setbacks of structures to each other and lot lines.

SEE SAMPLE, NEXT PAGE.

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED PROPOSED SITEPLAN, LOT COVENLIGE CALCULATIONS AND PLANS AND ELEVATIONS

OWNER STATEMENT OF ACCURACY AND EROSION CONTROL

I hereby attest that the above drawing and dimensions are correct to the best of my knowledge.

Before any soil is disturbed, I will properly install and maintain an erosion control barrier. This barrier will be adequate to prevent any soil erosion that may take place due to the construction project. The barrier shall remain in place until construction is complete and any disturbed area is re-vegetated and stabilized.

Signature of Applicant Stephen Fondelie APCHTECTURE OR	date <u>9.30.2021</u>
Signature of Owner	date